

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FEING DATE	TIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/598,289	10/30/2000	Tokaski Inoue	091448	4397
38834 7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER		
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			WARDEN, RLL A	
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036

DATE MAILED 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
0## 4 ## 0		09/698,289	INQUE ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jill A. Warden	1743
Period I	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -
- Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF- MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION restors of tend may be assisted under the provisions of 5°CR- are sended for myly specified above is lake them first (20) days, are operated to reply specified above is lake them first (20) days, are operated to reply a specified above, the maximum selections for to suply wife the set of solonoidal period for reply well, by such crops the property of the set of solonoidal period for reply well, by such that the property of the set of solonoidal period for reply well, by such as the property of the set of solonoidal period for reply well, by such that the property of the set of solonoidal period for reply well, by such that the property of the set of solonoidal period for reply well, by such that the property of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of th	I. 135(a) In no event, however, may a re sply within the statistory meletrum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	rply be family filed (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	November 2002	
		is action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allow		are properution on to the morte in
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quavle, 1935 C.D.	11. 453 O.G. 213
Disposit	ion of Claims	,, .,	11,100 0.0.210.
	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application		
-,-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	swith on consideration.	
	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement	
	ion Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Examin		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		
.0)[	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	septed or b) objected to b;	y the Examiner.
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	urawing(s) be neid in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1,85(a).
11)	The cath or declaration is objected to by the E	zion is required if the drawing(s xaminer. Note the attached	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d), Office Action or form PTO-152,
riority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	s have been received in App	ofication No.
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> </ol>	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
	application from the International Burea		
*8	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.
ttachment			
	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		
Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Sun Paper No(s)/F	nmary (PTO-413) Avil Date.
Inform	ration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Sta

Disi

App

Application/Control Number: 09/698,289

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patient, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patient or (2) a patient granted on an application for patient by another filed in the United States before the invention by applicant for patient, except that an inventional application for patient under the text policient in section applicant for patient, except that an inventional application for extra fund must be text policient in section only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such texts in the English languages.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al. (USP 6.489.168 B1).

Wang et al. teach an analysis and control system comprising a monitor 150 for displaying vessels 210 housed in a reaction block 110 and a selection means 600, 680 for selecting one or more vessels displayed on the screen based on data provided by the user 170/150 or storage means 180, such that the selector can modify the operation parameters (e.g. temperature, pH, etc.) related to the selected vessels (column 12, lines 46-52, Figs. 6a-6b). Moreover, Wang et al. teach a protocol creation means 700 for creating a protocol based on data supplied from the selector and displaying the vessels together with operation contents of the vessels (Figs. 7a-8, claim 3). Additionally, Wang et al. teach an analysis means 145 or protocol line analysis means for picking out the operation contents supplied by the user/storage and creating the operational procedure related to the selector vessel (Figs. 1.3).

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Babson et al. (USP 5,316,726).

Babson et al. teach an analysis and control system comprising a display device 16 for displaying vessels housed in an analyzer system and a selection means (e.g. bar codes and readers 44, 71) for providing data which is displayed on the screen of the display device. The system further includes a keyboard 18 which may be provided fro the operator to input patient information, or to perform other analysis and control functions (column 3, lines 39-60). The operator places particular assay tubes in particulary carrier tubes to design the protocol for the analyzer. "[T]he number and type of immunoassays to be performed on any one sample depends on the number and type of assay tubes selected by the [operator]." (Column 11, lines 19-36) This use of tubes by the operator, in conjunction with the bar code readers, keyboard and computer is considered equivalent to applicant's protocol creation means.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 26, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to Wang, et al., applicants argue that Wang et al. do not teach that the display device displays the vessels in a selected protocol line on the screen. Examiner disagrees. Wang et al. teach a reactor system including multiple reactor blocks containing multiple reactor vessels in each block. Wang et al. teach that it is possible to set temperatures for each block, on screen. Figure 6 billustrates multiple reactor blocks having multiple reactor vessels in each block, with each block set at a particular temperature set point. This illustration clearly shows multiple reactor vessels in a selected protocol line on the screen.

With respect to Babson, applicants argue that Babson does not teach a selection means for selecting one or more vessels displayed on a screen. Examiner disagrees. 
Applicants' claim recites one or more – clearly this can be interpreted as all. If the operator selects to perform analysis on all the vessels displayed in the display device, then he has employed selection means which is equivalent to that recited in the claims.

With respect to the amended claim language, Babson et al. teach displaying all vessels, with each vessel being color-coded according to a certain protocol. Clearly this is equivalent to the amended claim language.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,289 Art Unit: 1743

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill A. Warden whose telephone number is (703) 308-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays from 5:45 am to 2:45 pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jumand Jill A. Warden SPE Art Unit 1743